

7m



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/519,327	03/06/2000	Upendra V. Chaudhari	YOR-2000-0006	8814

35195 7590 11/16/2004

FERENCE & ASSOCIATES  
400 BROAD STREET  
PITTSBURGH, PA 15143

EXAMINER
----------

ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2654

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

09/519,327

**Applicant(s)**

CHAUDHARI ET AL.

**Examiner**

Angela A. Armstrong

**Art Unit**

2654

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 September 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3-11,14-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3-5,7-11,14-16 and 18-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6 and 17 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/13/2004.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 14, 2004 has been entered.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U. S. C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U. S. C. 103 (c) and potential 35 U. S. C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U. S. C. 103(a).

4. Claims 3-5, 7-11, 14-16, and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S. C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Picone et al (US Patent No. 5,293,452) in view of Setlur et al (US Patent No. 5,717,826) in further view of Fisher (US Patent 4,882,757).

5. As per claims 3-5, 14-16, and 23, Picone et al disclose an apparatus for verifying verbal utterances comprising:

a target password generator which generates at least one target password, (see col. 4, lines 43-51);

an acceptance arrangement, which compares a verbal utterance to at least one target password, (see col. 4, lines 58-62, and Fig. 1, item 30).

Picone et al fail to explicitly teach a system comprising an arrangement, which compares text based on a verbal utterance to at least one target password sentence. However, this feature is well known in the art.

In a similar field of endeavor, Setlur et al discloses a voice verification system comprising arrangement, which compares text based on a verbal utterance to at least one target password sentence (see col. 2, lines 64-66 and col.3, lines 4-8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Setlur et al teachings of acceptance/rejection arrangement in the Picone et al system, for the purpose of allowing for reliable and secure automatic access to restricted system such as remote databases while reducing verification error rate, as suggested by Setlur.

Picone fails to specifically teach the decoder being adapted to transform a verbal utterance into decoded text via employing the finite state grammar to modify the verbal utterance. However, transforming a verbal utterance into decoded text via employing the finite state grammar to modify the verbal utterance was well known in the art.

In a similar field of endeavor, Fisher teaches a speech recognition system which implements a finite state grammar with a limited or small vocabulary, which determines the recognized sentence by considering multiple word and sentence hypotheses. Fisher suggests that such a system with a limited or small vocabulary and one that considers multiple hypotheses is advantageous in improving performance and accuracy of the speech recognizer (abstract; col. 1, lines 51-57; col. 5, lines 62 continuing to col. 7, line 64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the utterance verification system of Picone to implement a finite state grammar network system as suggested by Fisher, for the purpose of providing efficient and accurate recognition and improved utterance verification.

As per claims 7 and 18, Picone et al disclose a system wherein said target password generator is adapted to accept prompted text corresponding to at least one password, (see col. 4, lines 36-51).

As per claims 8 and 19, Picone et al disclose a system wherein said target password generator is adapted to automatically generates at least one password as a baseform that is derived form an acoustic enrollment, (see col. 2, lines 9-10; col. 4, lines 36-51).

Art Unit: 2654

As per claims 9-11, 20-22, Picone et al disclose a system wherein said acceptance arrangement is adapted to derive a match score based on comparing.. (see Fig. 1, "scores").

***Allowable Subject Matter***

6. Claims 6 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Response to Arguments***

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-11 and 14-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 703-308-6258. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (703) 305-9645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela A. Armstrong  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2654

AAA  
November 11, 2004

*Angela A. Armstrong*